# Imagination and Reality: On the Relations between Myth, Consciousness, and the Quantum Sea

Charles D. Laughlin

Carleton University Ottawa, Canada

Jason Throop

University of California at Los Angeles



**ICRL REPORT #00.1** 

# Imagination and Reality: On the Relations Between Myth, Consciousness, and the Quantum Sea

by

Charles D. Laughlin, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>
Carleton University
and
International Consciousness Research Laboratories (ICRL)

and

Jason Throop
Department of Anthropology
University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA)

Abstract: There often appears to be a striking correspondence between mythic stories and aspects of reality. We will examine the processes of creative imagination within a neurobiological frame, and suggest a theory that may explain the functions of myth in relation to the hidden aspects of reality. Myth is peppered with archetypal entities and interactions that operate to reveal hidden processes in reality relative to the human condition. The imagery in myths in a sense "sustains the true." That is, mythopoeic imagery keeps the interpretive process in experience closer to the actual nature of reality than the rational faculties operating alone are able to do. Indeed, while rationalizing can easily lead us awry, genuine myth rarely does. Explanations of events offered by traditional peoples are frequently couched in terms of mythic themes and events. An important function of myth is to provide a "field of tropes" that informs the lived experience of people. This paper will focus especially upon those aspects of myth that represent facets of the quantum universe, and which give us clues as to the relationship between consciousness, symbolism and reality.

...the cultural habits of humanity have always made room for the sacredness of nature.

Simon Schama, Landscape and Memory

Fundamentally, then, there are no religions that are false. All are true after their own fashion: All fulfill given conditions of human existence, though in different ways.

Emile Durkheim, The Elementary Forms of Religious Life

Whoever denies the existence of the unconscious is in fact assuming that our present knowledge of the psyche is total. And this belief is clearly just as false as the assumption that we know all there is to be known about the natural universe. Our psyche is part of nature, and its enigma is as limitless.

C.G. Jung, Man and His Symbols

#### INTRODUCTION

While it is true that people may imagine worlds that do not exist, and may fail to imagine worlds that do, there often appear to be striking correspondences between the mythopoeic systems of traditional peoples and certain fundamental aspects of reality. This observation raises a number of interesting questions about the relations among imagined worlds and reality, including: What are the cognitive functions of myth? What neurocognitive mechanisms are responsible for the imagination-reality correspondence? How can we account for the intuitive recognition of truth in mythic tales? And how is it that reasoning about reality from a mythical foundation is often truer than reasoning alone?

In this paper we will examine the processes of creative imagination within a neurobiological frame, and suggest a theory that may explain the functions of myth in relation to the hidden aspects of reality. The perspective we use will be that of biogenetic structuralism, an interdisciplinary body of work that integrates research in anthropology, psychology,

neuroscience, physics and phenomenology.<sup>i</sup> In particular we want to show how it is that imagination may be understood as a natural process, a universal function of the human brain -- a brain which is embedded in a universe of ordered energy within which it evolved, in which it develops, which sustains it and which informs it by way of energetic interrelations at many levels, including that of the quantum sea. The view we want to present is intended as a corrective for the extremely cynical postmodernist views that have persuaded people that all human knowledge is merely the product of social construction, and that there is no such thing as truth (see e.g., Anderson 1990).

#### **MYTH AND IMAGINATION**

So that we all begin on the same page, let us make clear what we mean by a mythopoeic system. Traditional societies are often characterized by cultures that are grounded upon a cosmological ontology. By cosmology we mean that people conceive of the world as:

- 1) A totality which is
- 2) Made up of everything in existence as parts of that totality, and
- 3) All the parts interact in a systemic way such that
- 4) The existence of the totality depends upon all the parts interacting and mutually influencing each other and the totality.
- 5) Much or most of the totality, as well as essential aspects of all the parts, are hidden to normal sensory perception.

Astrophysicists and other physical scientists use the term "cosmology" to refer to the systemic properties of the universe, but from an ethnological point of view they are actually describing a kind of quasi-cosmology<sup>ii</sup> – they are not describing the true cosmology of a people

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For further information pertaining to biogenetic structuralism, see Laughlin and d'Aquili 1974, Laughlin, McManus and d'Aquili 1990. Laughlin has constructed a self-guided tutorial in biogenetic structuralism on his web site: http://www.charlie.atfreeweb.com.

Although the theory of the origins and evolution of the universe may have elements of a traditional cosmology (e.g., systemic properties, cosmogony, even eschatology), people, including physicists, do not live their daily lives under the influence of conceptions of "black

who go about their daily lives in the intimate understanding of, and participation in the world-ascosmos. Such peoples intuitively grasp that what they do in their daily lives impacts upon the totality of existence, and that the totality impinges on every aspect of their lives.

# The Mythopoeic System

Traditional cosmologies are expressed through the societies' mythopoeic system. By mythopoeic system we are referring to a society's entire corpus of sacred cosmological symbolism, including the symbolism found in myth, ritual, mobiliary and architectural arts, drama, performance, sacred landscape and games. All the different symbolic media comprising a traditional mythopoeic system are interconnected within the context of the unity of cosmic understanding. They are variant expressions of a single reality as understood by the people. This is not to say that the mythopoeic world and the world of direct, personal experience are the same. As John Cove (1987:28), an anthropologist and student of Northwest Coast Indian mythology, notes: "...the relationship between mythological and lived-in realms is never completely isomorphic. Each is more or less than the other. If the first has particular significance, it is in giving a foundation for meaning in the second."

The cosmology, and thus the mythopoeic system, are part of a living system of meaning for people born under the influence of an intact traditional culture. The cosmology and its symbolic representations are lived, and in the living, the cosmology is animated and confirmed within the crucible of each person's consciousness. Cosmologies and mythopoeic systems are thus bound up in what we might model as a cycle of meaning (see Figure 1; see also Laughlin, McManus and d'Aquili 1990:214-233):

#### **COSMOLOGY**

Interjection by shaman

INTERPRETATION OF EXPERIENCE

MYTHOPOEIA (RITUAL, MYTH, ART, DRAMA, AND OTHER SYMBOLISM)

Interjection by shaman

# DIRECT EXPERIENCE

Figure 1. The Cycle of Meaning. The society's cosmology is expressed symbolically in its mythopoeia, and especially its myth-ritual complex. Symbolic expression leads to direct experiences that are interpreted in such a way that the cosmology is vivified and verified. Shamans may influence the process by controlling the symbolic expression and again by helping to interpret experience.

The cosmology, which traditional peoples mainly carry around in their heads, is imagined and expressed by way of their culture's stock of symbolic media in such a way that people participate intimately in their version of a symbolically pregnant mythic reality. As Alfonso Ortiz (1972:135) noted, the associations, principles and assumptions upon which a traditional cosmology are founded are rarely, if ever, worked out by people. Rather, most people accept and participate in accordance with the world view they inherit from their culture. This participation results in real life experiences that are in turn interpreted in terms of the cosmology, thus completing a negative feedback loop which instantiates the cosmology in individual experiences and which also confirms the truth of the people's system of knowledge (Ricoeur 1962, 1968).

iii Lucien Levy-Bruhl (1923) called this intimate engagement with a people's mythopoeic system "mystical participation."

Here it is important to note that while the tendency is to envision the cycle of meaning in terms of a negative feedback loop, it should not be conceived as an entirely closed system that is incapable of change. Positive feedback is also a possibility as direct experiences can, upon occasion, lead to alterations in interpretations, which in turn can change aspects of the cosmology, and the ritual-mythopoeic reflections of that cosmology found in that particular culture -- consider for example A.F.C. Wallace's (1956) pioneering work on culture change and cargo cults, Weber's (1978:244-246) writings on charisma, and Obeyesekere's (1981) work on creativity. Obeyesekere in fact argues that a great deal of creative impetus in cultural transformation stems from ritually induced experiences in altered states of consciousness. Not satisfied with the "cumbersome" nature of the phrase "altered states of consciousness", Obeyesekere calls these states "hypnomantic consciousness". He explains that these states are characterized by their great creative capacity and their ability to "generate subjective imagery and cultural meanings" (1981:169). Moreover, he also argues that myth is itself directly "generated out of the hypnomantic consciousness" (1981:181). Also of interest is his attempt to outline the integration of the creative and conventional sides of culture (the generative and conservative aspects of the cycle of meaning) by describing how the products of hypnomantic consciousness can be "reworked by the conscious mind, and [as such] brought in line with the needs of the individual and the demands of culture" (1981:181). Just as we point out above with our description of the cycle of meaning, Obeyesekere asserts that as such, culture and myth therefore "feed back into the hypnomantic state, influencing the thought structure of these states" (1981:181).

These two attributes of the cycle of meaning can be understood in terms of the following example. For instance, let us take the not uncommon case of dream incubation. A culture might hold that while one is asleep one's soul can depart from the body thus freeing it to obtain culturally valued information about the world. A member of such a culture who wishes to discover something important about the world might therefore be inclined to use a culturally specified ritual means of incubating lucid dreams. Ritually induced dream incubation then

generates an experience in which an individual is able to perceive themselves as a consciousness flying free of the body thus enabling them to discover information about the world. Here then, the experience not only instantiates the belief system, but it also confirms the veracity of the society's hermeneutical system.

Conversely, in the process of incubating lucid dreams a precocious dreamer may indeed have some novel experience (e.g. an interaction with an entity or spirit) that falls outside of the interpretive framework provided by the cosmology. In this case, there is the possibility that the individual who experiences this novelty may attempt to elaborate a new interpretive framework that can (given the proper set of circumstances) serve to alter the parameters of the original cosmological system.

A society's mythopoeia are ultimately the product of the creative imagination of its people. By creative imagination we are not talking about mundane fantasy (i.e., imagined unreality), but rather the <a href="Imaginatio">Imaginatio</a> in Henry Corbin's (1969:179) sense – the exercise of the creative intuitive faculties associated with imagery by which the essentially invisible aspects of reality may become envisioned. As Corbin noted, modern Euroamerican culture is marked by a vast chasm between its conception of "reality" as described by science on the one hand and unreal fantasy on the other hand: "In short, there has ceased to be an intermediate level between empirically verifiable reality and unreality pure and simple" (ibid:181). In traditional societies this intermediate level by which (in Emile Durkheim's sense) reality is imagined is the mythopoeic.

Traditional cosmologies tend to be conservative of meaning. They resist change in knowledge to some extent, for the principle function of a society's world view is to assure both a complementarity of experience for society's members, as well as reflect the authenticity of experience. The traditional cycle of meaning is the product of an inherent effort after meaning, as opposed to an effort after truth.<sup>iv</sup> That is, the cognitive processes of the human brain operate

iv In earlier writings Laughlin (1992) has attributed the distinction between "effort after meaning" and "effort after truth" to Ogden and Richards (1923), but the actual origin of the

to associate what is arising in the sensorium at the moment with patterns stored in memory – in Bartlett's (1932:44) terms, "an effort to connect what is given with something else." The effort after truth shifts the orientation from attributing meaning to the given to discovering what is novel in the given and then evaluating meaning models by comparison with the given's experienced novelty. In other words, the effort after meaning is a quest for an ordered patterning of experience with a recognition of the correspondence between an experienced given and the instantiation of that given in memory, while the effort after truth is a systematic search for anomaly in our experience of a particular given. The former is common to people everywhere, while the latter is rare and is the fundamental impetus to real science — that is, when the "science" is not merely another form of ideology. We will say more about this distinction later in the paper.

The view we are expressing here is one that is contrary in many respects to the semiotic structuralism of Claude Levi-Strauss (1964, 1971, 1978). It has been Levi-Strauss' contention that myths can only be understood relative to other myths. In his form of analysis, the meaning of one myth is ascertained by comparing the symbolism and relations among the symbols with that of other myths. The idea is to work one's way back to the elemental structures of mind that produce myth in the first place. The methodology involved in this essentially exegetical project is remarkably convoluted, and really has nothing to do with people's experience of the world in the here and now (see e.g., Maranda and Maranda 1971). In fact the contingencies of daily existence are irrelevant to a semiotic structural analysis, for questions of development and adaptation do not enter the picture as anything more than distortions of the primordial structures that produce myth.

While it is true that myths from a single society are typically interrelated and form a

notion of "effort after meaning" originates with Bartlett (1932:44). The confusion arose in a conversation Laughlin had with Earl Count in 1990 while interviewing him for the Neuroanthropology Network Newsletter Laughlin was then editing and publishing. The distinction between "effort after meaning" and "effort after truth" is apparently Count's, or at least developed during the conversation.

system of symbols and meaning – the entire mythopoeic system is involved in producing what Paul Friedrich (1991) has called a "field of tropes" - the mythic system in reality gains its efficacy by informing the lives of real people. The meaning encoded in sacred stories and other media informs lived experience, and that is why myth is found to be so intimately associated with a society's ritual activity. Durkheim long ago stressed the important connection between ritual and myth (1912). In fact, his writings on religion often attest to the significance of ritual as a mechanism through which individuals' are able to harmonize their subjectivities both with one another and with the cosmological system as expressed in a particular society's collection of myth. His view of the close connection between ritual and mythology is evidenced in his assertion that "If myth is withdrawn from religion, ritual must also be withdrawn....Indeed the rite is often nothing other than the myth in action" (1912:79). Perhaps inspired by Durkheim, Victor Turner (1985, 1992, Turner and Bruner 1986) strenuously disagreed with the semiotic structuralist account of how mythic texts function in traditional religions, and with the minimizing of the role of ritual implied therein. Turner saw, as Durkheim before him and as biogenetic structuralists also see, that ritual, not disembodied myth, is the cornerstone of religion. As is implied in the cycle of meaning model above, religion is an active process with ritual enactment at its very core (d'Aquili, Laughlin and McManus 1979, Laughlin 1989, Laughlin et al. 1986; see also Rappaport 1999).

#### THE MYTH-RITUAL COMPLEX

So we see that at the center of a society's mythopoeic system may be found in a complex of myth and ritual from which the other media (say the people's art, drama and games) derive their primary inspiration (see d'Aquili 1982, 1983, d'Aquili & Newberg 1999). By myth we are referring to the corpus of sacred stories which comprise a highly symbolic, but coherent description of a people's origin (cosmogamy), as well as the origins of significant aspects of the environment (animals, food plants, changes in the weather, social roles, institutions, etc.). The stories are primarily concerned with transmitting knowledge about the primal relations in the cosmos upon which the existence and well-being of the people depend. They form the primary

warp and weave in the fabric of a people's "field of tropes," a field of interconnected meaning in which each of life's significant experiences finds a location, much like a patch finds its appropriate place in a quilt."

Myth may do many things within the sociocultural context of a people. For instance, anthropologists have long understood that mythology provides a charter for many of the society's important institutions (see e.g., Malinowski 1954). Myth can also provide a conventional moral order to situations faced by people in their daily lives (Jackson 1982), offer explanations for natural phenomena and catastrophes of various kinds (Frazer 1890), incorporate magical formulae for use in the world (Young 1983, Weiner 1988), and operate as a repository for cultural information (Turner1974:239).1 But there are two other important functions of myth we need to address here, for we are not just interested in myth as a repository of culture, but rather in the relationship between creative imagination, experience and reality. Those two functions are: (1) the transmission of socially important vicarious experience and (2) the coordination of individual conceptual systems relative to socially valued experience. As explored above, myth (with ritual) is a primary mechanism for developing and maintaining what Emile Durkheim called the "collective consciousness" fundamental to a people's religion and cosmology (1995 [1912]). Important domains of experience are registered in story and transmitted in such a way that the receiver lives the experience vicariously through internally generated feelings, thoughts and images -- through, say, the imagined adventures of the hero or sacred being. Moreover, the didactic quality of myth makes it possible for people to share the same body of core symbols and the sacred context in which the symbols apply. Everyone more or less agrees that some particularly salient event in real life is an instance of some general force or phenomenon depicted in the stories. For instance, a number of Laughlin's Navajo friends are convinced that much of the social upheaval being encountered today is due to the failure of the people to conform to certain prescriptions (like keeping winter and summer ceremonies distinct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Victor Turner (1967) used the metaphor of a person being enmeshed in a "forest of symbols."

and not overlapping) that are clearly expressed in the sacred stories.

And as Durkheim emphasized, the reality expressed in myth is not merely the figment of imagination, but is reality itself imagined (ibid:12). This is a crucial insight, for it differentiates the present account from the cynical relativism of many postmodern accounts. And indeed, ethnologists find that this reality base must be so, for the contingencies addressed in myth often indicate important elements and issues fundamental to the adaptational style of a people (Rappaport 1984, 1999). Also, the more seminal students of religion have acknowledged the adaptational significance of myth. Claude Levi-Strauss (1963) has noted that myth is concerned with fundamental dilemmas of human existence – dilemmas that may have very serious adaptational consequences. Mircea Eliade (1963:1) understood myth as a comment on the human condition generally, and noted that traditional peoples code myth as a "true story" or a story about reality. Both neuroanthropologist Earl Count (1960) and theologist Paul Tillich (1951) saw a society's myth as a description of a people's understanding of "the world as problem" – an expression of the "ultimate concerns" of a society. Social phenomenologist Alfred Schutz (1964) goes further and suggests that myth refers to transcendental experience and the boundaries of a people's view of multiple realities.

Joseph Campbell, who perhaps has given myth more thought than any other scholar of our age, recognized the problem societies face in assuring that the development of each member's consciousness proceeds in a way that maintains a collective reality base relative to the environment:

Thus a mythology is a control system, on the one hand framing its community to accord with an intuited order of nature and, on the other hand, by means of its symbolic pedagogic rites, conducting individuals through the ineluctable psychophysiological stages of transformation of a human lifetime – birth, childhood and adolescence, age, old age, and the release of death – in unbroken accord simultaneously with the requirements of this world and the rapture of participation in a manner of being beyond time. ...Their effect, therefore, is to wake the intellect to realizations equivalent to those of the insights

that produce them.

#### Campbell 1986:20

Campbell (1959) further suggested that universal mythic themes may operate as innate releasing mechanisms for structures in the depths of the human psyche. Mythic elements, operating as metaphors, may be "supernormal sign stimuli" (i.e., images) that may be more effective than naturally occurring phenomena for potentiating neurocognitive structures in the human brain for development. The society's mythic system may be organized in a unique way so that they operate to release constellations of neurocognitive development of certain appropriate types. For instance, hero myths may operate as initiators. The imagery penetrates to the depth of the psyche and activates and potentiates development of those constellations of faculties valued by the society. By manipulating the motifs and elements of myth, a society may orchestrate deep psychic development by penetrating and potentiating constellations requisite to development along certain lines. These become the "collective consciousness" of a particular people – a collective representation that is keyed to both the local and global reality within which people are embedded.

# Myth As Socially Guided "Active Imagination"

Thus, being exposed to a society's mythology is much like a socially guided exercise in "active imagination," a method used in Jungian psychology to trigger and explore processes in the unconscious by way of unfettered imagination (1968b:274-280, 1970:495). Jung was reluctant to discuss the method in public, for he considered it appropriate for use only in the case of a person sufficiently mature to handle the eruptions of material from the unconscious (Jung 1968c:49). The method is essentially a kind of meditation in which the dream-like fantasy material is allowed to flow through consciousness while the meditator is alert and undisturbed by the imagery and emotions passing through. This was not just Jung's euphemism for Freud's "free association," for, done properly, active imagination sidesteps the ego and allows the consciousness a much fuller experience of previously unconscious materials. Entering an appropriately receptive and alert state of mind, the mediator concentrates upon particular dream

or fantasy imagery until it, as it were, comes alive within consciousness and then the meditator-as-watcher just notes what unfolds. But whereas the Jungian method is undertaken by the client for themselves, with perhaps the aid of the analyst as guide, myth provides a form of social guidance into an archetypally pregnant "field of field of tropes" appropriate to the individual's particular cultural situation. It should be noted that Jung often used mythology to augment and elaborate active imagination, a technique he called "archetypal amplification" (Jung 1968b:289, Salmon 1997:65).

#### Myth Is Activity

An intact traditional mythology is never a static phenomenon. Myth works its way in the world through the activity of people in the world -- through people's cognitive operations and actions. Thus myth results in real effects and consequences in the lives of people and in the environment. Moreover, myth is intimately connected with the body of ritual of a people. By ritual we refer to a system of symbolically pregnant, rule governed and formalized activity and speech that people repeat as required by social prescription, and the meanings of which are established by social convention (see d'Aquili, Laughlin and McManus 1979:39, d'Aquili & Newberg 1999, Rappaport 1979:175, 1999, Grimes 1996). As Anthony F.C. Wallace (1966) liked to say, ritual is the "work" of religion – ritual is where the mythopoeic system is most active. Ritual may take the form of an actual mythic performance – like the Passion Play – in which aspects of the mythology are actually enacted and as it were brought alive through the society's particular style of dramaturgy (see Schechner 1985, Turner and Bruner 1986). Or the society may sponsor individual or group pilgrimages during which mythic events are enacted upon the much grander stage of the sacred ("mythologized"; see Devereux 1992, 1996) landscape. In any event, it is by participation in ritual that people often attain mythologically rich experiences and insights, and it is the mythical activity of ritual that contributes most

vi This is a method not unlike the Buddhist practice of "bare attention" – the skillful watching of the productions of mind without being carried away and distracted by particular productions.

dramatically to a traditional society's cycle of meaning.

The myth-ritual complex is thus bound to reality in at least three important ways: (1) Through the direct intuitive grasp of the order of reality, (2) through regulating the development of the individual consciousness along socially collective paths, and (3) through enactments in the world that have real effects and consequences.

# The Hidden

Anthropologists have repeatedly noticed that traditional societies espouse a cosmology which concerns itself with the hidden forces behind things and events. The hidden forces are given symbolic expression as animated, often anthropomorphic characters that play an epiphanic vii role in myths, mystery plays, and other forms of ritual performance. And these forces often provide an imaginative explanation of the unseen vectors of causation and energy that run between all objects and events in the universe.

The Navajo, for instance, hold that all perceivable things in the world have invisible aspects that are imagined as "Holy People" -- for example, the Mountain People, the Star People, the River People, the Rain People, the Corn People, etc. For sophisticated Navajo thinkers, these Holy People are anthropomorphized symbols for the usually hidden and vital element within all things, and which traditional Navajo philosophy equates with "Wind" (nilch'i; see McNeley 1981). People also have such a hidden dimension called "the Wind within one" (nilch'i hwii'siziinii). All these Winds are really part of the one all pervasive and all encompassing Holy Wind. Winds are never distinct entities and there is energy flowing in and out of even the most enduring objects. It is the coming and going of wind that accounts for the tapestry of reciprocal causation typical of their understanding of the cosmos. The choice of "wind" as the central metaphor is an explicit recognition -- common to many cultures on the planet -- that there are forces that normally cannot be observed, save by inference from their effects.

vii "Epiphanic" means that the hidden aspects of reality are revealed, or to use Durkheim's words, "reality imagined."

It is very much the function of myth in societies like Navajo to reveal and explicate the hidden dimensions of the world. The hidden energies that are the essence of the world are given a face – a countenance that may be contemplated, that is "pleasing to the mind," that may be enacted in ritual (e.g., in the elaborate and ingenious Navajo system of <a href="https://hittal.gov/hitta

#### MYTH AND THE PROCESS OF TRUEING

Earlier we made the distinction between the effort after meaning, typical of traditional cycles of meaning, and the effort after truth. We did not mean to imply that the system of meaning that people strive to instantiate is untrue in any fundamental way. Far from it. In fact the creative imagination represented in a living mythopoeic system operates to "true-up" the collective consciousness of a people (so much is implied in Henry Corbin's notion of the Imaginatio mentioned above). That is, mythopoeic imagery keeps the interpretation of experience closer to the actual nature of reality than rationality operating alone is able to do. We all know how easy it is to "rationalize" something that is untrue. While the rational faculties can easily lead us astray from the essential nature of things, genuine myth rarely does. What we want to do now is develop a better understanding of how this veridicality function of myth works.

According to the dictionary, the word "true" connotes that one's statement is consistent with the facts, is in agreement with reality, represents things as they really are, or matches the description of the way things are. In other words, the sense of the root is "telling the truth" in both the sense that what one says is consistent with reality, and is consistent with reality as one

knows it to be without deceit (i.e., both a subjective and an objective connotation of genuineness). The root also refers to agreement of an act or statement with some standard, rule or pattern. The connotation is that the statement "is as it should be" or correct. Used as a verb, instead of as a noun or an adjective, the word "true" is, like so many of our more familiar words, a metaphor which implies the domain of physical and mechanical activity. In this case, the term implies architectural activity. To say "true a wall" means that the wall is accurate as measured relative to a plan, a plumb line or a level -- the connotation being of an activity that makes something true. One may "true" something by adjusting or shaping it into accurate conformation with a pattern or plan. To "prove something true" is to verify it in relation to something else. And a tool to true something was once called a "truer."

Myth operates as a truer of cognitive operations, particularly developmental operations, in very much the sense suggested by Joseph Campbell. From now on we want to use the terms "trueing" and "truer" in this very special sense. That is, trueing refers specifically to the inherent, epistemic faculty of the brain to produce a cognized world in a dynamic and veridical way in conformation to reality. The role of trueing in adaptation is obvious. During the countless generations that our brain has been evolving, failure to model the world accurately resulted in a quick death and a continuous selection against non-veridical distortions of cognized reality. In the process of coming to know the world, the importance of intuition and imagination are at least as important as rationality in forming an accurate cognized picture of reality. In the Western philosophical tradition this idea can be traced at least back to the likes of Aristotle who recognized that the faculty of imagination plays an important function in helping individuals to determine the nature of any given object that may be affecting the senses (Leaghy 1997:65). We believe that this significance of the imagination in helping to form an accurate understanding of reality is also evidenced by the fact that intuition and imagination are likely phylogenetically prior to the linguistically organized conceptual facilities of the brain (see Laughlin and d'Aquili 1974, Laughlin 1997). They are certainly developmentally prior to the more advanced conceptual facilities. The production of lateral, metaphorical associations, so fundamental to the

structure of mythology, is present in very young children. Babies are born operating on perceptual objects in their environment and learning by constellating cognitive associations upon those images (see Laughlin 1991).

# Trueing and Neurognosis

The human brain does not begin life, as was once believed by psychologists such as William James, as a "booming, buzzing chaos" or as a tabula rasa. On the contrary, the neurocognitive structures that develop through childhood to become the adult brain have their beginning in rudimentary, genetically programmed organizations of neurons and support cells. In biogenetic structural theory we call these nascent neural structures <u>neurognostic models</u>, or simply <u>neurognosis</u> (see Laughlin, McManus and d'Aquili 1990:57-59). It is neurognosis that initially mediates the highly organized world of experience of the pre- and perinatal child (Laughlin 1991). Neurognosis also mediates the "species typical" cognitions that Adolph Bastian called "elementary ideas" and Carl Jung termed "archetypes."

It is upon neurognostic imagery and intuited associations that all true mythology is grounded. While it is the case that myth frequently takes the form of a narrative, the essential structure of myth is non-linguistic -- it is neurocognitive -- a structure of consciousness. As Levi-Strauss would say, myths tell a story, but while language is the most common medium for telling stories, myth may be expressed via other mythopoeic forms as well (e.g., drama, pilgrimage, art and games). True myth, as Levi-Strauss (1964, 1971) repeatedly emphasized, exists within the brains of people, while expressions of myth are particular transformations on the true myth, just as the true symphony is in the mind of the composer or conductor and the

viii Adolf Bastian (1826-1905) was a German ethnologist who subscribed to the "psychic unity of mankind" theory which held that a finite set of ideas, common to all of humanity, are at the root of all cultures (see Bastian 1860, 1895).

ix It is well to remember that, although much attention was given to relatively dramatic archetypal imagery in his writings, Jung actually believed that there were as many archetypes as there are species-wide, typical perceptions (1968 [1936/37]:48). For further discussion of neurognosis and archetypes, see Laughlin (1996).

performance is but one iteration of the form.

Now, the neurognostic makup of each individual person will vary, and so too will the course of development of the individual over the course of his or her lifetime. Likewise, the expression and course of development of neurognosis will vary socially depending upon the history and environment of the group's culture. But it is the underlying neurognostic origin of the imagery, structure and thematic motifs that is definitive of myth, and that we recognize to be cross-culturally similar, even when festooned with culturally distinct surface material. For instance, the changeling in myth may become a tiger, hyena, wolf, bat or killer whale, depending upon the local fauna and the values of a people, but the structure of the changeling remains the same — a human being changes mysteriously into an animal — usually a carnivore. Some of these universal qualities of myth have been analyzed and described in the works of anthropologists and mythologists like Clyde Kluckholn (1959), Claude Levi-Strauss (1978), Carl Jung (1964) and Joseph Campbell (1959) — structural elements like the mytheme, binary opposition, metaphor and metonymy, archetypal images like the Serpent, the Tree of Life, Trickster and the Great Mother, and narrative motifs like the hero's quest and the "blackening" (see Thompson 1955 for an index of often recurrent motifs).

Mythical stories are the expression of both (1) the fundamental neurognostic structure of the human brain, and (2) the content appropriate to the varying environmental and cultural exigencies characteristic of a particular society. The neurognostic structure of myth comprises what we might call the <u>universal cosmology</u> upon which virtually all traditional cosmologies are grounded and are transformations. It is the universal cosmology that assures the trueing of knowledge. In common parlance, we are "wired" to know reality from a very human, speciestypical point of view (d'Aquili & Newberg 1999). But the entire "wired" complement of neurognostic models is never activated in a single individual. Rather, the field of neurognostic models, and the universal cosmology they mediate, provide the species with an organ of what Stephen J. Gould (1991) has called "exaptation" — the process by which physiological structures either (1) proved adaptive in earlier generations in one way and in later generations prove

adaptive in novel ways, or (2) were not particularly adaptive in earlier generations, but prove to be adaptive to new situations in later generations. The brain is our organ of exaptation par excellence. With its initial complement of neurognostic models, the developing brain is able to mature in such a way that it resolves the tension between the need to conserve its own integrity and the need to organized itself relative to the sociocultural and physical environment in which it grows (see Piaget 1977, 1985). During development there is a great deal of selectivity among the repertoire of neurognostic models, only some of which will mature in the course of any given lifetime (Edelman 1987, 1989, Changeux 1985).

The universal cosmology upon which all mythology is grounded is mediated by an organization of neurocognitive cells that represents in its formations both the invariant structures of reality and the body's own internal nature as part of that reality. The universal cosmology is in fact mediated by living cells that organize themselves during neurogenesis so as to reiterate with each generation an ancient system of knowing that has proved to be exaptationally optimal over countless generations. And one of the mechanisms by which this system becomes activated is via its expression in the society's corpus of myth. Returning to the cycle of meaning model, we can see that there is an embedded neurognostic cycle of meaning that ensures the trueing of the greater system of knowledge for traditional peoples (see Figure 2).

# UNIVERSAL COSMOLOGY

Maturing

Expressing

DEVELOPMENT

**MYTHICAL STORIES** 

Potentiating

Penetrating

#### **NEUROGNOSIS**

Figure 2. The Neurognostic Cycle of Meaning and Maturation. The universal cosmology is embedded within and organizes the particular culture's cosmic world view. The universal cosmology is expressed in mythical stories that penetrate to the neurognostic structures of the young brain. Neurognosis is potentiated for development and through the course of a lifetime the constellation of neurognostic structures appropriate to the culture and environment mature.

The new model resembles the previous formulation, except that it is concerned with the universal cosmology implicated in all the details of a society's mythopoeic system. The universal cosmology is <u>expressed</u> within the society's distinct style, embedded as it were like the figure in one of those modern stereographic pictures that you have to look at in just the right way in order to resolve the hidden image. The universal structure <u>penetrates</u> along with the rest of the narrative into the depths of the brain where it is "recognized" by the target constellation of neurognostic structures. Thus neurognosis becomes <u>potentiated</u> for development (a la Joseph Campbell's "innate releasing mechanisms") in just the right constellation to true knowledge to

reality and at the same time to give knowledge that distinctly cultural flavor characteristic of the society's "local knowledge" (to use Clifford Geertz's 1983 apt phrase) as it <u>matures</u>. The neurognosis in each individual that recognizes the universal structure of the cosmology implicit within myth may also be part of the neural network that is mediating experience, so that the universal cosmology is not only reiterated in each developing brain, the individual may experience the "archetypal" elements and relations directly. As is the case with the culture-level cycle of meaning, the experiences arising relative to the universal cosmology act to confirm the "truth" of the cosmology and bring it alive.

One of the most common reactions people have to the intuition of truth about reality is that it seems as if they knew it already. And in a very real sense they do know the truth before they hear it -- when the embedded universal structures of myth penetrate to neurognostic networks that are ready for potentiating, the experience may be one of recognition – literally of "re-cognizing" or "re-calling" what the species has known throughout the ages within its collective unconscious. For this reason, a society's mythology may be poly-developmental; that is, the mythology may be so organized that it will effectively potentiate neurocognitive structures at various stages of maturation. And, once the constellation of neurognostic structures is on the path of maturation, the mythopoeic system may re-potentiate the developing structures at later junctures – may participate in "initiating" the next stage of development. Anthropologists have reported a number of societies that have mythopoeic systems that are explicitly designed in multiple levels of narrative, each subsequent and more complex level given to the initiate when they are developmentally ready to receive it. \*\*i Of course this has been the strategy of many of

x

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup> There is very likely penetration to unconscious structures as well, and in that case they will not be experienced, at least not at the time of initial penetration. Unconscious structures may potentiate and develop but remain dormant from the point of view of the conscious ego of the developing person.

xi See the literature on the Telefolmin of Papua New Guinea (Jorgensen 1980), the Baktaman of New Guinea (Barth 1975), the Tamang shamans of Nepal (Peters 1982), the Tukano of Amazonia (Reichel-Dolmatoff 1971), the Dogon of Africa (Griaule 1965), and Tibetan lamas (Beyer 1973 and Given 1986) for examples of societies with poly-potentiating, multiple level

the Western mystery schools in their programs of initiation and spiritual development.

# Trueing, Intuition and Action in the World

Knowledge is trued in conformation with the universal cosmology. Trueing occurs through one or both of two modes: through <u>intuition</u> and through <u>action in the world</u>. Intuitive trueing brings knowledge into conformity with the totality of being and universal processes, while action in the world tends to true knowledge about the locality. We are born knowing in both senses, and mythology will often reflect both of these two modes (Laughlin 1997). When we know the cosmology via intuition, the knowledge tends to be <u>unitary</u> in its characteristics – the emphasis is upon the systems properties of the world. But when we know by virtue of action in the world, the knowledge tends to be <u>avulsive</u> in its characteristics – the emphasis is upon making distinctions among things and responses to things. xii

The word "intuition" is a fairly fuzzy term that refers to a range of processes of knowing. The root of the English word derives from the Latin <u>intuitus</u> meaning roughly "the act of achieving knowledge from direct perception or contemplation." Cognitive psychologists Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky (1982) suggest that intuition refers to at least three types of phenomena: (1) judgements made about things that are consonant with a person's worldview, (2) a person is unaware of the rules and procedures used to reach judgements about things, and (3) there is a lack of analytical and computational methods used to reach judgements about things.

Intuition typically labels a type of experience in which the answer to a question, the solution to a problem, guidance in following some goal, a creative impulse resulting in the emergence of some image, idea or pattern, springs into consciousness whole-cloth, as it were --

mythopoeic systems.

xii It seems that the great German philosopher Wilhelm Dilthey was also struggling to express a similar point in his insightful work on the poetic imagination. As Makkreel explains, Dilthey argued that the poetic imagination "transcends reality precisely for the sake of uncovering the typical in reality" (1992:108) while asserting that this function of the poetic imagination only fully emerges when our contemplation of particularities of reality in terms of "the mutual adaptation of self and environment is suspended" (ibid: 105).

seemingly out of nowhere. Adelbert Ames the remarkable perceptual physiologist and philosopher, is said to have

...had the habit of putting a problem to himself in the evening just before he went to bed. Then he "forgot" it. The problem never seemed to disturb his sleep. But he often "found" the next morning on awakening that he had made progress on the problem. And as soon as he got to his office he would pick up his pencil and pad of paper and begin to write. He always said he didn't know just "what would come out,"...

#### Cantril 1960:viii

We have all had some experience of "seeing" through to the solution to some problem in this way. The "seeing" is an apprehension through sudden awareness of an activity that has always been operating and there to "see." This sort of knowing is fundamental to the functioning of awareness and occurs in all of us all the time. And, it is the kind of knowing that apprehension of myth at whatever level of cognitive maturation depends. There is a general appeal about the wisdom of myth that invites instantiation in the moment. The event happening before us reminds us of the general pattern of relations encountered ever so metaphorically in myth.

Knowing through action in the world is much more locality-specific. This is coming to know in the classic Piagetian sense in which the internal neurognostic models developing in our nervous system interact with the aspects of the local environment through the activity of the organism and sensory feedback about the efficacy of that activity (Piaget 1977, 1985). Natural organic systems actually feed forward into the world. Activity operates as a reality check on the veridicality of the models that mediate the activity (Laughlin and d'Aquili 1974:84-86). Models alternatively assimilate operations in the world into themselves and accommodate themselves to feedback from the world. This is how the individual brain develops a cognized reality that is so rich with local detail, nuance and proficiency. We grow into our local space, however small or large that space may be, and develop not only a repertoire of adaptive knowledge about that space, we also develop to some extent an identity conditioned by the physical and social

particularities of that space.

# Trueing and Culture

Cultures privilege knowledge in different ways. Some cultures will emphasize knowing in the universal cosmological mode, while others will emphasize knowing in the local, empirical sense. And many societies are characterized by systems of knowledge that privilege both modes of knowing. As Pitirim Sorokin (1957, 1962) demonstrated, sensate cultures are those that in terms of their knowledge systems are way out on the adaptational pole and privilege local ways of knowing over knowing in the more universal cosmological mode. Sensate culture produces populations that are off-balance in their understanding of the world. Because they are way off balance, sensate cultures will over the course of generations tend to compensate by swinging back toward a more balanced view in which knowledge derived from the local mode becomes integrated with knowledge arising from development of the universal mode (he called these more balanced systems idealistic cultures). This compensatory swing back to a more idealistic balance seems to be happening in Western culture at the present time with an increasing tolerance for mysticism. The problem, of course, is that cultures never stand still, and the balance struck in one generation between local and universal ways of knowing may be lost to subsequent generations in the continued swing of the culture toward the opposite pole of ideational culture in which universal, more mystical ways of knowing are privileged. It is in the balanced idealistic and more mystical ideational cultures in which a corpus of mythological tradition forms a living core of knowledge.

From the point of view of people in an ideational culture, what we might consider "mystical" knowledge or experience is not mystical at all. It is simply "the way things are."

After all, the word "occult" in English just means "hidden from view" or "hard to see." When we finally experience and comprehend the mysteries, they are no longer hidden, and hence no longer "occult." The human brain is neurognostically prepared to apprehend the mysteries, but to the extent that we have been enculturated not to do so is perhaps the extent that we must apply effort and exotic techniques to produce mystical experiences. One of the characteristics of a sensate

culture is that it will not exhibit a living mythology while a society way out on the ideational pole will relate everything of importance back to the culture's mythological core knowledge. A member of an ideational culture will be enculturated into the universal cosmology by way of the sacred stories of the group, and will live their lives in intimate accord with the participation mystique.

As we say, the brain is born knowing the world in both the unitizing mode of universal cosmology and in the avulsive mode of local adaptation. During its maturation, the brain will strive to establish a balance within the tension produced by these two ways of knowing. But our brain is a living system of cells, and if the press of environmental and social conditions result in an over-emphasis upon localized adaptational development -- which is a condition that seems endemic to sensate cultures -- the inherent processes of metabolic and organismic integration will tend to reassert their activities wherever possible. And such compensatory activities may be experienced by the individual as "mystical" dreams, visions, spirit or entity channeling and other phenomena -- perhaps as Carl Jung taught, a calling to greater attention to the inner workings of the psyche. In the absence of a corpus of sacred stories these experiences may produce confusion and uncertainty for the individual having them. A society that is characterized by a sensate culture which has lost touch with its mythological tradition is awkwardly positioned to guide its people to a way of life in keeping with the more unitary aspects of reality.

#### MYTH, TRUEING AND THE PHYSICS OF THE VACUUM

We have seen how myth operates to true individual conceptual systems and the experience of individuals to the world in both the unitary (intuitive grasp of the systems properties upon which the universe operates) and avulsive (discriminative adaptation to things and events in the local environment) modes. It does so through its sacred stories and through enactment in ritual, both of which are directed at revealing the often hidden, but nonetheless real nature of the cosmology in which humans are embedded. And, just as with the Navajo notion of Holy Wind, most traditional cosmologies account for the energetic and causative aspects of the cosmos.

In our own culture history, science has gone a long way in supplanting the truing function of the more traditional myth-ritual complex. But for a couple of centuries or more, the picture science painted of the universe was mechanical and related primarily to experiences of persons carrying out esoteric experimentation. However, with the advent of quantum mechanics, physics has begun to portray the universe in ways that look more and more like that of a traditional universal cosmology. Scientists are coming to understand the universe as a vast sea of energy in which everything in the universe is bathing. But for years the dominant picture we were given of this sea of energy was limited by the so called Copenhagen account. The orthodox story out of early quantum theory threw out the idea of a hidden "ether," and claimed that by the time one considers the world at the level of objects, constituent quantum events have been statistically eliminated from consideration by being reduced to classical phenomena (see Herbert 1985:158-168 for a more complete description). The Copenhagen account has been recognized as being phenomenologically problematic. It presumes a schism between experience and reality. It establishes a fundamental dualism between consciousness which presumably operates in a mechanical universe and reality which is organized as a quantum universe. And as we have seen, the functioning of the traditional myth-ritual complex assures that much if not all of the hidden world is revealed in such a way that daily events and cosmic events are all part-andparcel of the same reality.

There are, of course, other interpretations of quantum mechanics now available in the literature. Some of these, like David Bohm's (1980) notion of the universe being simultaneously an "implicate order" and an "explicate order," and David Finkelstein's (1991) "causal networks" allow for the introduction of hidden dimensions of the world that are involved in the events we face in everyday life. Moreover, some of these newer accounts make it possible for us to better model the "acausal" dimensions of quantum interactions, and specifically with regard to relations between consciousness and reality. One of the most

xiii Nick Herbert summarizes some of these in his book, Quantum Reality (1985).

promising areas of research at the moment is the current work being done on the physics of the vacuum (Boyer 1985, Greiner and Hamilton 1980, Saunders and Brown 1991).

An interesting take on the physics of the vacuum is that of Harold Puthoff (1990). As we understand Puthoff's picture of reality, the entire universe is a monad of energy of various densities. There exists a structure of underlying "zero-point" energy that permeates the universe -- a quantum sea as it were.

In the modern view empty space or vacuum is never truly particle or field free, but rather is the seat of continuous virtual particle-pair creation and annihilation processes, as well as so-called zero-point fluctuations of such fields as the electromagnetic field. Originally thought to be of significance only for such esoteric concerns as small corrections in atomic emission processes..., it is now understood that vacuum fluctuation effects play a central role in large-scale phenomena of interest to technologists as well....

# Harold E. Puthoff (1993)

In a series of studies, Puthoff and his associates (Puthoff 1987, 1989-91, 1990, Cole and Puthoff 1993, Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff 1994a, 1994b) have shown that many of the known results in quantum physics can be traced to underlying zero point energy causation. This sea of energy literally permeates everything, even pervading the most complete vacuum. Indeed, the vast majority of the energy in the universe is to be found as random fluctuations within this quantum sea. Now, this account looks very much like the Navajo notion of Holy Wind, which as we have seen is the essential energy foundation and hidden inner nature of all things, be they local or be they cosmic.

# The Quantum Brain

There has been a flurry of interest in the direct interaction between the brain and the quantum sea (e.g., Beck and Eccles 1992, Deutsch 1985, Lockwood 1989, Penrose 1989, Stapp 1993, Laughlin 1996). This attention indicates an increasing concern for the question of how the neurocognitive processes that mediate consciousness may also influence and be influenced by events happening throughout the quantum universe. Our suggestion is that neurognosis operates

not only at the level of organizing neural networks, but also at the quantum level by penetrating to and being penetrated by events in the quantum sea. In a sense, neural networks may be organized to operate as transducers into consciousness of patterned quantum level activity, and reciprocally from consciousness into quantum level activity. Transformations of neural activity may produce transformations in the structure of the sea, and visa versa. Thus local causation based upon biochemical interaction among neural cells may be transposed into non-local causation based upon biophysical activity between cells and the quantum sea. This would account for the very robust data we now have about distance causation events like remote viewing (Puthoff and Targ 1976, Puthoff, Targ and May 1981, Targ and Puthoff 1977), consciousness-machine interactions (Jahn and Dunne 1987), and other psi phenomena (see Radin 1997) -- phenomena not unlike the sort, like co-dreaming and telepathy, that are reported for traditional peoples by some ethnographers.

The suggestion that the brain and quantum sea may interact directly still remains a tantalizing hypothesis at this time. To our knowledge, no one has unequivocally demonstrated quantum effects of cellular activity, other than the significant findings in the field of biophysics pertaining to bioluminescence (see Popp 1998, Gu and Popp 1093, Ho, Popp and Warnke 1994). However, there are several promising avenues of research into possible mechanisms -- avenues that are sufficiently interesting that they have led a number of serious scholars to consider processes that mediate brain-quantum interaction (see Laughlin 1996 for a review). Although there has not yet been a definitive demonstration of direct neural-quantum sea interaction, the evidence is sufficiently suggestive to prompt some authorities to hypothesize that brain-quantum sea interpenetration may operate something like a "quantum computer" (Deutsch 1985, 1992, Wallace 1993a, 1993b). That is, information and "computations" may be organized within the pattern of coherent quantum activities. These "computations" may be detectable by neural networks and used as intuitively derived information in higher order neurocognitive processing. While we do agree with Penrose's (1989) arguments against narrow AI-type computational models of consciousness, it does seem possible on the strength of parapsychological and

ethnographic evidence that information exchange of a broader kind may be occurring between the conscious brain and the quantum sea (see Puthoff, Targ and May 1981, Walker 1973, 1975 and Radin 1997 who also relate quantum physical and parapsychological phenomena).

# Myths True the Quantum Brain

It may well turn out that the brain is trued relative to global reality in both a top-down and a bottom-up manner. The brain may be trued from the bottom-up by way of direct interaction with the quantum sea, and trued from the top-down by way of the universal cosmology inherent in mythic systems. Myth in other words reminds (literally "re-minds," or "re-calls" to consciousness) each member of the group of what his or her brain already knows at the level of its cells and its neural networks. Discrete experiences are not understood as solitary or unrelated to the totality of existence. Rather, particular events find their context within an intuition of the universe of causal energies. Important things happening to an individual are understood as a microcosm of the universal cosmology. People living under the influence of a traditional mythopoeic system perceive things as instantiations of the totality. They presume the efficacy of the normally invisible causation behind apparently discrete events. Each human brain may indeed prove to be a microcosm that contains -- like the proverbial mustard seed, or the more modern hologram -- all the wisdom of the ages, requiring only the optimal conditions of development for each person to individuate into a sage. And part of those conditions have to do with participating within an intact, brain-truing mythopoeic system.

#### CONCLUSION

It is unfortunate that the term "myth" has for over a century been synonymous with "false." But anthropologists do not use the term in this sense. Rather, we recognize that there is often a profundity to the sacred stories of a people. Summarizing, we have suggested that there often appears to be a striking correspondence between traditional systems of myth and aspects of reality. Myth is peppered with archetypal entities and interactions that operate to reveal hidden processes in reality relative to the human condition. Even with myths that are foreign to our own upbringing, we often sense an aura of wisdom imbedded in the sacred stories. The universal

cosmology that is embedded in mythic systems comes alive in the imagery of the tales and thus myths in a sense "sustain the true" relative to reality. That is, mythopoeic imagery keeps the interpretation of experience closer to the actual nature of reality than rationality operating alone is able to do. In fact, while the rational faculties can easily lead us astray, genuine myth rarely does. In this sense myths operate at the social level much as Jungian "active imagination" does in individual therapy. Myth penetrates to the archetypal level of the unconscious which is in direct interaction with the reality of the being and its environment.

One of the hallmarks of the trueing function of myths is that explanations of real life events offered by traditional peoples are frequently couched in terms of mythical motifs.

Moreover, mythical themes -- like the Navajo Holy Wind -- often represent facets of the quantum universe as modern science is coming to understand it. Myths offer us clues as to the relationship between consciousness, symbolism and reality. While it is entirely possible to imagine a world or aspects of the world that do not exist, the creative imagination of traditional mythology is concerned with real questions and real concerns – often having to do with the hidden causation behind phenomena.

As Paul Ricoeur (1959:60-76) likes to say, "myth invites thought." Those of us who have spent years studying traditional mythologies know quite intimately the magnetism of sacred stories. Myths impel us to engage with the mysteries of the hidden, to attempt to embrace a truly mythological comprehension of the transcendental world forever beyond our senses. But for a long time, our Western worldview has exacerbated the struggle to come to grips with mythology. Reared as most of us have been in an extremely sensate culture with its mechanical and materialist view of the universe, we were ill prepared to comprehend the universe as a cosmos in which the entirety of reality is implicated in our every action -- indeed, in our very existence. But with the advent of modern quantum physics, which effectively reinstates the existence of something like the "ether" -- for all intents and purposes the same as the Navajo's Holy Wind, and analogous concepts in many other traditional cultures – we are in a better position to comprehend the universal cosmology implicit in traditional mythologies.

Isn't it curious that the more we come to understand reality through the efforts of our high tech sciences, the more reality looks like the world portrayed metaphorically in mythology? This development is not fortuitous. Anthropologists know that there is something fundamentally empirical about people everywhere. They all wish to understand to some extent the world of their lived experience. Generation upon generation of people have thought and imagined about the hidden forces behind experiences, and as it is essentially the same human brain doing the thinking and imagining, it is not really surprising that peoples would come up with essentially the same answers – the same essential intuitions about the hidden nature of reality. We are all born into the same world with its "matters of ultimate concern," and there is an apparent pattern to the ways we solve those concerns. As we have taken some pains to argue, those solutions are reality based. Durkheim was ultimately correct when he insisted that all religions are grounded in reality. They have to be. They are the product of a human brain that evolved over millions of years in reality, that is embedded in reality, that experiences reality and that imagines the hidden forces that render experiences of reality sensible.

Notes:

#### References:

Anderson, Walter Truett (1990) Reality Isn't What It Used To Be. New York: Harper Collins.

D'Aquili, Eugene G. (1982) "Senses of Reality in Science and Religion: A Neuroepistemological Perspective." Zygon 17(4):361-384.

\_\_\_\_ (1983) "The Myth-Ritual Complex: A Biogenetic Structural Analysis." Zygon 18(3): 247-269.

D'Aquili, Eugene G., Charles D. Laughlin and John McManus (1979) The Spectrum of Ritual. New York: Columbia University Press.

D'Aquili, Eugene G. and Andrew B. Newberg (1999) The Mystical Mind: Probing the Biology of Religious Experience. Minneapolis: Fortress Press.

Barth, Frederik (1975) Ritual and Knowledge Among the Baktaman of New Guinea. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Bartlett, F.C. (1932) Remembering: A Study in Experimental and Social Psychology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bastian, Adolf (1860) Der Mensch in der Geschichte. Leipzig: O. Wigand.

(1895) Ethnische Elementargedanken in der Lehre vom Menschen. Berlin: Weidmann'sche Buchhandlung.

Beck, Friedrich and John C. Eccles (1992) "Quantum Aspects of Brain Activity and the Role of Consciousness." Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:11357-11361.

Beyer, S. (1973) The Cult of Tara. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.

Bohm, David. (1980) Wholeness and the Implicate Order. Boston: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Boyer, Timothy H. (1985) "The Classical Vacuum." Scientific American 253(2):70-78.

Campbell, Joseph (1959) The Masks of God: Primitive Mythology. New York: Viking.

\_\_\_\_\_ (1986) The Inner Reaches of Outer Space: Metaphor as Myth and as Religion. New York: Harper.

Cantril, H. (1960) Morning Notes of Adelbert Ames. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Changeux, J.-P. (1985) Neuronal Man: The Biology of Mind. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cole, Daniel C. and Harold E. Puthoff (1993) "Extracting Energy and Heat from the Vacuum." Physical Review E 48(2):1562-1565.

Corbin, Henry (1969) Alone With The Alone: Creative Imagination in the Sufism of Ibn 'Arabi. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Count, Earl W. (1960) "Myth as World View." in Culture in History (ed. by S. Diamond). New York: Columbia University Press.

Cove, John J. (1987) Shattered Images: Dialogues and Meditations on Tsimshian Narratives. Ottawa, Canada: Carleton University Press.

Deutsch, D. (1985) "Quantum Theory, the Church-Turing Principle and the Universal Quantum Computer." Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A 400:97-117.

\_\_\_\_\_ (1992) "Quantum Computation." Physics World, pp. 57-61.

Devereux, Paul (1992) Symbolic Landscapes: The Dreamtime Eath and Avebury's Open Secrets. Glastonbury, Somerset, UK: Gothic Image Publications.

\_\_\_\_\_ (1996) Re-Visioning the Earth. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Durkheim, Emile (1995 [1912]) The Elementary Forms of Religious Life (translation by Karen E, Fields). New York: The Free Press.

Edelman, G. (1987) Neural Darwinism: The Theory of Neuronal Group Selection. New York: Basic Books.

\_\_\_\_ (1989) The Remembered Present: A Biological Theory of Consciousness. New York: Basic Books.

Eliade, Mircea (1963) Myth and Reality. New York: Harper and Row.

Finkelstein, David (1991) "Theory of Vacuum." in The Philosophy of Vacuum, ed. by Simon Saunders and Harvey R. Brown. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Fox, Robin (1994) Myth as Evidence of Psychosocial Processes." in Psychological Anthropology, ed. by Philip K. Bock. Westport, CT: Praeger, pp. 211-229. Frazer. James (1890) The Golden Bough. London: Macmillan.

Friedrich, Paul (1991) "Polytropy." in Beyond Metaphor: The Theory of Tropes in Anthropology. James W. Fernandez, ed. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, pp. 17-55.

Geertz, Clifford (1983) Local Knowledge. New York: Basic Books.

Given, Brian J. (1986) A Study of Tibetan Tantric Psych-Religious Technology. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Gould, Steven J. (1991) "Exaptation: A Crucial Tool for an Evolutionary Psychology." Journal of Social Issues 47: 43-65.

Greiner, Walter and Joseph Hamilton (1980) "Is the Vacuum Really Empty?" American Scientist March-April issue, p. 154.

Griaule, M. (1965) Conversations with Ogotemmeli. London: Oxford University Press.

Grimes, Ronald L., ed. (1996) Readings in Ritual Studies. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Gu, Q. and Fritz A. Popp (1993) Biophoton Physics: Potential Measure of Organizational Order." in Biological Effects of Light (ed. by Ernst G. Jung). Walter de Gruyter.

Haisch, Bernhard, Alfonso Rueda and H.E. Puthoff (1994a) "Inertia as a Zero-Point-Field Lorentz Force." Physical Review A 49(2):678.

\_\_\_\_ (1994b) "Beyond E=mc2." The Sciences 34(6):26-31.

Herbert, Nick (1985) Quantum Reality: Beyond the New Physics. New York: Doubleday.

Ho, Mae-Wan, Fritz-Albert Popp and Ulrich Warnke (1994) Bioelectrodynamics and Biocommunication. London: World Scientific.

Jackson, Michael (1982) Allegories of the Wilderness. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Jahn, Robert G. and Brenda J. Dunne (1987) Margins of Reality: The Role of Consciousness in the Physical World.New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Jorgensen, Dan (1980) "What's in a Name: The Meaning of Nothingness in Telefolmin." Ethos 8(4): 349-366.

Jung, Carl G. (1964) Man and His Symbols. New York: Doubleday.

\_\_\_\_ (1968a [orig. pub. 1936/37]) "The Concept of the Collective Unconscious." in The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp. 42-53 (Collected Works No. 9).

\_\_\_\_ (1968b [orig. pub. 1944, revised 1968]) Psychology and Alchemy. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, (Collected Works No. 12).

\_\_\_\_ (1968c [orig. pub. 1936/37]) "The Concept of the Collective Unconscious." in The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp. 42-53

(Collected Works No. 9).
(1970 [orig. pub. 1955/56]) Mysterium Coniunctionis: An Inquiry into the Separation and Synthesis of Psychic Opposites in Alchemy. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press (Collected Works No. 14).
Kahneman, Daniel and Amos Tversky (1982) "On the Study of Statistical Intuition." Cognition 11:123-141.
Kluckholn, Clyde (1959) "Recurrent Themes in Myths and Mythmaking." Daedalus 88:268-279.
Laughlin, Charles D. (1989) "Ritual and the Symbolic Function: A Summary of Biogenetic Structural Theory." Journal of Ritual Studies 4 (1): 15-39.
(1991) "Pre- and Perinatal Brain Development and Enculturation: A Biogenetic Structural Approach." Human Nature 2(3): 171-213.
(1992) Scientific Explanation and the Life-World: A Biogenetic Structural Theory of Meaning and Causation. Sausalito, CA: Institute of Noetic Sciences.
(1996) "Archetypes, Neurognosis and the Quantum Sea." Journal of Scientific Exploration 10(3):375-400.
(1997) "The Nature of Intuition: A Neuropsychological Approach." in Intuition: The Inside Story (ed. by Robbie E. Davis-Floyd & P. Sven Arvidson). New York: Routledge, Pp. 19-37.
Laughlin, Charles D. and Eugene G. d'Aquili (1974) Biogenetic Structuralism. New York: Columbia University Press.
Laughlin, Charles D., John McManus and Eugene G. d'Aquili (1990) Brain, Symbol and Experience: Toward a Neurophenomenology of Consciousness. New York: Columbia University Press.
Laughlin, Charles D., John McManus, Robert A Rubinstein and Jon Shearer (1986) "The Ritual Transformation of Experience." Studies in Symbolic Interaction 7 (Part A) (Norman K. Denkin, ed.), Greenwich, Conn.: JAI Press, pp. 107-136.
Leahey, Thomas Hardy (1997) A History of Psychology: Main Currents in Psychological Thought. Fourth Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall
Levi-Strauss, Claude (1964) Mythologiques: Le Cru et le Cuit. Paris: Plon.
(1967) Structural Anthropology. Garden City: Doubleday.
(1971) Mythologiques: L'Homme Nu. Paris: Plon

(1978) Myth and Meaning. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
Levy-Bruhl, Lucien (1923) Primitive Mentality (1966 edition). Boston: Beacon Press.
Lockwood, Michael (1989) Mind, Brain and the Quantum. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
Malinowski, Bronislaw (1954) Magic, Science and Religion. Garden City: Doubleday.
Makkreel, Rudolf A. (1992) Dilthey: Philosopher of the Human Studies. Princeton: University Press
Maranda, Elli Kongas and Pierre Maranda (1971) Structural Models in Folklore and Transformational Essays. The Hague: Mouton.
McNeley, James K. (1981) Holy Wind in Navajo Philosophy. Tucson, AR: University of Arizona Press.
Obeyesekere, Gananath (1981) Medusa's Hair: An Essay on Personal Symbols and Religious Experience. University of Chicago Press (esp. pages 169,170, 176, 181-82).
Ogden, C.K. and I.A. Richards (1923) The Meaning of Meaning (8th edition). New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
Ortiz, Alfonso (1972) "Ritual Drama and the Pueblo World View." in New Perspectives on the Pueblos, ed. By Alfonso Ortiz. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, pp. 135-161.
Penrose, Roger (1989) The Emperor's New Mind. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Peters, L.G. (1982) "Trance, Initiation, and Psychotherapy in Tamang Shamanism." American Ethnologist 9(1):21-46.
Piaget, Jean (1977) The Development of Thought. New York: The Viking Press.
(1985) The Equilibration of Cognitive Structures. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
Popp, Fritz-Albert (1998) "Biophotons and Their Regulatory Role in Cells." Frontier Perspectives 7(2):13-22.
Puthoff, Harold E. (1987) "Ground State of Hydrogen as a Zero-Point-Fluctuation-Determined State." Physical Review D 35(10):3266-3269.
(1989) "Source of Vacuum Electromagnetic Zero-Point Energy." Physical Review A 40.
(1990) "Everything for Nothing." New Scientist, July 28 issue, pp.52-55.

(1993) "Think-Piece on the Relationship of Zero-Point Energy Research to the Role of 'Metaphysical' Processes in the Physical World." (typescript) Puthoff, Harold E. and Russell Targ (1976) "A Perceptual Channel for Information Transfer over Kilometer Distances: Historical Perspective and Recent Research." Proceedings of the IEEE 64(3):329-354. Puthoff, Harold E., Russell Targ and Edwin C. May (1981) "Experimental Psi Research: Implication for Physics." in The Role of Consciousness in the Physical World (ed. by Robert G. Jahn). Washington, D.C.: American Association for the Advancement of Science, pp. 37-86. Radin, Dean I. (1997) The Conscious Universe: The Scientific Truth of Psychic Phenomena. New York: Harper. Rappaport, Roy A. (1979) Ecology, Meaning, and Religion. Richmond, CA: North Atlantic Books. \_\_\_\_ (1984) Pigs for the Ancestors (second edition). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press. \_\_\_\_ (1999) Ritual and Religion in the Making of Humanity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Reichel-Dolmatoff, G. (1971) Amazonian Cosmos. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Ricoeur, Paul (1959) "Le Symbole Nonne a Penser." Esprit 27: 60-76. (1962) "The Hermeneutics of Symbols and Philosophical Reflection." International Philosophical Quarterly 2 (2): 191-218. \_\_\_\_\_ (1968) "Structure, Word, Event." Philosophy Today 12 (2-4): ??? Salmon, Sherry (1997) "The Creative Psyche: Jung's Major Contribution." in The Cambridge Companion to Jung, ed. by Polly Young-Eisendrath and Terence Dawson. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Saunders, Simon and Harvey R. Brown (1991) The Philosophy of Vacuum. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schechner, Richard (1985) Between Theatre and Anthropology. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Sorokin, Pitirim A. (1957) Social and Cultural Dynamics. Boston: Porter Sargent Publisher.

(1962) Society, Culture, and Personality. New York: Cooper Square Publishers.

Stapp, Henry P. (1993) Mind, Matter, and Quantum Mechanics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Targ, Russell, and Harold Puthoff (1977) Mind-Reach. New York: Delacorte Press. Thompson, Stith (1955) Motif Index of Folk-Literature. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. Tillich, Paul (1963) Systematic Theology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Turner, Victor (1967) The Forest of Symbols. Ithaca: Cornell University Press. \_ (1974) Dramas, Fields, and Metaphors: Symbolic Action in Human Society. Ithaca: Cornell University Press. (1985) On the Edge of the Bush: Anthropology as Experience. Tuscon: University of Arizona Press. \_(1992) Blazing the Trail: Way Marks in the Exploration of Symbols. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press. Turner, Victor and E.M. Bruner, eds. (1986) The Anthropology of Experience. Urbana: University of Illinois Press. Walker, Evan Harris (1973) "Application of the Quantum Theory of Consciousness to the Problem of Psi Phenomena." in Research in Parapsychology, 1972: Abstracts and Papers from the Fifteenth Annual Convention of the Parapsychological Association, Edinburgh, Scotland, September 3-5, 1972, Ed. by w.g. Roll, R.L. Morris and J.D. Morris. Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow Press. pp. 51-53. \_\_\_\_\_ (1975) "Foundations of Paraphysical and Parapsychological Phenomena." in Quantum Physics and Parapsychology (ed. by Laura Oteri). New York: Parapsychology Foundation, pp. 1-53.

Wallace, Anthony F.C. "Revitalization Movements" American Anthropologist 58:264-81.

(1966) Religion: An Anthropological View. New York: Random House.

Wallace, Ron (1993a) "Cognitive Mapping and Algorithmic Complexity: Is there a Role for Quantum Processes in the Evolution of Human Consciousness?" Behavioral and Brain Sciences 16 (in press).

\_\_\_\_ (1993b) "The Algorithmic Animal: Complexity, Quantum Mechanics, and the Evolution of Consciousness." Social Neuroscience Bulletin 6(2):25-26.

Weber, Max (1978 [1922]) Economy and Society. Roth and Wittich (eds.), Berkeley: University of California Press.

Weiner, James (1988) The Heart of the Pearl Shell: The Mythological Dimension of Foi Sociality. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Young, M. (1983) Magicians of Manumanua: Living Myth in Kalauna. Berkeley: University of California Press.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper was presented at the Otherworld Reality Conference held in the Great Room of the Royal Society of Arts, London, England, May 10 & 11,1999. The authors wish to thank Paul Devereux and John Cove for their inspiration. This paper is dedicated in loving memory to our friend and colleague, Eugene G. d'Aquili (1940-1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Robin Fox (1994) surveys some nine functions of myth, and makes the valuable point that any and all of these functions my be considered as either psychological or social, depending upon emphasis. Stith Thompson (1955) lists many recurrent themes in myths and other types of folk tales around the world.